

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 09/735,628 | 12/14/2000 | Toshiyuki Enomoto | 200912US3 | 5852 |
| 22850 7590 03/11/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. | | | JOHNSON, JERRY D | |
| 1940 DUKE STREET | | · | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ALEXANDRIA | A, VA 22314 | | 1764 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/11/200 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 1 | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/735,628 | ENOMOTO ET AL. | | | | |
| , | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jerry D. Johnson | 1764 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED February 19, 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whic | ation. A proper reply to a character the application in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR R | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forthe later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the ma | ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered t | pecause: | | | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mate | erially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | ling a corresponding number of t | inally rejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmer explanation of how the new or amended claims v | • • • | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | : | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ ap | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | Jerry D. Johnson | | | | |
| | | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1764 | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Limiting the claims to include the step of "recirculating the working fluid through a working machine to reuse the working fluid for subsequent working of the workpiece" would require further consideration and/or search.